# Human-Computer Interaction

Session 10 Natural Dialog Interaction

MMI / SS08

	The e	volution of use	er interfaces
	Year	Paradigm	Implementation
	1950s	None	Switches, punched cards
	1970s	Typewriter	Command-line interface
(	1980s	Desktop	Graphical UI (GUI), direct manipulation
	1980s+	Spoken Natural Language	Speech recognition/synthesis, Natural language processing, dialogue systems
	1990s+	Natural interaction	Perceptual, multimodal, interactive, conversational, tangible, adaptive
	2000s+	Social interaction	Agent-based, anthropomorphic,social, emotional, affective, collaborative
		MMI / SS08	2

----



















# Geht's auch ohne Svntax und Semantik? Z.B. mit "keyword-spotting": □ durchsuchen der Benutzereingabe nach bestimmten Schlüsselworten, z.B. "Wetter", und generieren einer Antwort, die zum Schlüsselwort passt □ Einfach, aber besser skalierbar (grosse Zahl an Regeln) Grundlage vieler *Chatterbots* Eliza (Weizenbaum, 1969) ALICE (http://www.alicebot.org/) Jabberwacky.com Anna (www.ikea.de) bereits bei einfachen syntaktischen Kniffen überfordert Benutzer: "Ich möchte auf keinen Fall über's Wetter reden!" Bot: "Gern! Hier in Bielefeld regnet es mal wieder." MMI / SS08







# 1. Content Planning

### Goals:

- □ determine *what* information to communicate (content)
- □ determine *structure* of this information to make a coherent text/discourse

# **Results**: *messages*, predefined data structures that...

- □ correspond to informational elements (units)
- collect underlying data in ways convenient for ling. expression
- □ Essentially, a domain-dependent expert-system task
- Common approaches:
  - 1. based on observations about common utterance structures
  - $\ensuremath{\mathbf{2}}.$  based on reasoning about discourse coherence and the purpose of the utterance

MMI / SS08



# 2. Microplanning

## Goal:

convert a content plan into a sequence of sentence or phrase specifications

## Tasks:

- □ Aggregation via conjunction, ellipsis, or embedding
  - Heavy rain fell on the 27th and [] on the 28th.
- □ *Lexicalisation:* choosing word lemmas
- □ *Reference*: how to refer to entities
  - initially: full name, relate to salient object, specify location
  - subsequently: Pronouns, definite NPs, proper names, possibly abbreviated

MMI / SS08









# What is a dialogue?



- □ multiple *participants* exchange information
- □ all participants pursue (ideally) the same *goal*
- □ *discourse* develops with the dialogue
- □ some *conventions* and *protocols* exist

# □ general structure

- Dialogue = [episodes]+ (topic changes)
- Episodes = [turn]+ (speaker changes)
- Turn = [utterance]+ (1)
- (function changes)

### MMI / SS08



# There is a lot to handle for a *perfect* SLDS



# $\Box$ in both monologue and dialogue

- information status: what is given, what is new?
- coherence: how do the utterances fit together?
- references: what is being referred to?
- speech acts: what is the intention of the speaker?
- implicature: what can be inferred from it?

# □ +only in dialogue

- turn-taking: who has the the right to speak?
- initiative: who is seizing control of the dialogue?
- grounding: what info is settled between the speakers?
- repair: how to detect and repair misunderstandings?

MMI / SS08

# Speech acts Svery utterance is an action performed by the speaker in a real speech situation Obvious in *performative* sentences: "I name this ship titanic.", "I bet you 5 bugs." Any sentence in a speech situation constitutes three kinds of acts: Locutionary act: the utterance of the sentence "I'm cold." Illocutionary act: the action in uttering it (asking, answering, commanding, ...) → informing that I'm cold. Perlocutionary act: the production of effects upon the addressee and ultimately the world → get window closed speech act explicates the illocutionary act:



Allwood, 1976;

Clark & Shaefer, 1989





MMI / SS08











<ul> <li>Central tasks</li> <li>Interpretation of input</li> <li>Maintenance of discourse context</li> <li>Determine if information suffices to identify the task, handle repairs</li> <li>Determine what is expected or reasonable given context, planning of system responses</li> <li>Communicate with external applications (database, etc.)</li> <li>Manage communication flow</li> </ul>	IALOGUE_MANAGER while conversation is not finished if user has completed a turn then interpret user's utterance if system has obligations then address obligations else if system has intended conversation acts then call generator to produce NL utterances else if some material is ungrounded then address grounding situation else if high-level goals are unsatisfied then address goals else release turn or attempt to end conversati else if none has turn then take turn else if long pause then take turn
--	--











MMI / SS08

there any servers?

Example of an agent-based system

U: I'm looking for a job in the Calais area. Are

S: No, there aren't any employment servers for Calais. However, there is an employment server for Pas-de Calais and an employment sever for

□ system recognizes user's needs and attempts to

Lille. Are you interested in one of these?

provide a more co-operative response







# TRAINS dialogue manager

- □ Reactive: system will deliberate as little as possible until it can act, running in cycles
- □ No long-range plans, one step at a time
- $\hfill\square$  Prioritized list of sources for deliberations
  - 1. Discourse obligations
  - 2. Weak obligation: don't interrupt user's turn
  - 3. Intended speech act ( $\rightarrow$  NLG + state update)
  - 4. Weak obligation: grounding (acknowledge, repair)
  - 5. Discourse goals: proposal negotiation
  - 6. High-level discourse goals (domain reasoning)

MMI / SS08

 $\sim$ 

Input	Single words or phrases	NL with concept spotting	Unrestricted NL
Verification	Explicit confirmation of each turn or at end	Explicit & implicit confirmation	Grounding
Dialogue Context	Implicitly in dialogue states	Explicitly represented Control represented with algorithm	Model of System's BDI + dialogue history
User Model	Simple model of user characteristics / preferences	Simple model of user characteristics / preferences	Model of User's BDI

# Information State approach

- $\hfill\square$  Central data structure(s) to define conversational state
  - employed in deciding on next actions
  - updated in effect of dialogue acts by either speaker
- □ operational semantics of plans stated as update rules
- □ dialogue manager = definition of the contents of the IS + description of update processes



