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ABSTRACT

We introduce semantic reflection as an architectural concept for Intelligent Virtual Environments (IVEs). SCIVE, a dedicated IVE simulation core, combines modularity with close coupled integrative aspects to provide semantic reflection on multiple layers from low-level simulation core logic, specific simulation modules’ application definitions, to high-level semantic environment descriptions. SCIVE’s Knowledge Representation Layer provides the central organizing structure which ties together data representations of simulation modules, e.g., for graphics, physics, audio, haptics, or AI etc., while it additionally allows bidirectional knowledge driven access between the modules.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Rich believable worlds frequently demand the integration of special purpose software modules, e.g., for the simulation of graphics, sounds, collisions, physics or haptics. Purpose-built VR development tools often adopt design principles of the underlying graphics system like scene and application graphs while they additionally provide VR specific key features [17] like input/output device customization (AVANGO [19], Lightning [6], VR Juggler [5], CAVELib™, WorldToolKit®, network distribution (AVANGO [19], MASSIVE 3 [7], DIVE [8][2], Net Juggler), or entity centered access to world states or world logic often realized using event mechanisms (see, e.g., Lightning [6]).

While well motivated in the beginning, a close coupling between application content and graphics system is disadvantageous [3] [4] w.r.t. extensibility and portability, i.e., during the integration or replacement of additional simulation modules to render animations, sounds, physics, haptics. Furthermore, intelligent virtual environments [14] demand the integration of Artificial Intelligence methods. Such modules are either included on a case by case base, or they are integrated a priori into holistic architectures as found in many 3D game engines like the Doom 3 Engine, the Unreal Engine 3, the Source Engine, the C4 Engine or the CryENGINE™.

Extensible architectures [1][11] follow a module based approach. The goal is a decoupling of specific application content from the internals of a simulation engine—a challenging task due to the close data and control flow coupling but distinct data representations between the various modules. To achieve this goal, we propose a concept called semantic reflection. Semantic reflection extends the existing object oriented programming (OOP) reflection concept to provide knowledge-driven access to objects’ capabilities and interfaces. The general idea is based on a semantic representation which comprises logical scene entities [18][16][10], specific modules’ data structures and control mechanisms, as well as the internals of the simulation engine itself (see figure 1) as inspired by semantic models for plain OOP [15] reflection.

2 SEMANTIC REFLECTION IN SCIVE

SCIVE, a Simulation Core for Intelligent Virtual Environments implements semantic reflection using a structural knowledge representation layer (KRL) that ties the distributed world representations together. Every application object and world entity from the three layers following figure 1 is mirrored by specific representations using a custom-built semantic net base formalism [13]. Its low-level C++ implementation is linked to an event system that automatically detects read/write accesses between the connected modules and the KRL. Since this inter-module access is synchronized internally by the simulation core [12], different synchronization schemes can dynamically be applied to generate new coherent world states. These synchronization schemes ultimately represent the result of changing flows of control between the modules.

Initially, all required modules are augmented with a wrapper [9] which provides the necessary links to the simulation core and the participating modules’ logic as well as the initial bindings between the modules’ objects and entities and their KRL counterparts. On the KRL, these counterparts—just nodes in the semantic net—are related to the other parts of the application knowledge to grant access to that information. In contrast to (object local) OOP reflection, semantic reflection provides semantic inter-object information which can be obtained by traversing the relations starting from these specific interlink-nodes which we therefore call semantic entities.

SCIVE provides bidirectional application design: The backwards compatible approach still permits developers to implement a required function in a specific module: Wrappers also include mappings from the KRL’s semantic entities to their own object model—usually via multiple inheritance—which then have full access to the semantic descriptions of all objects and entities.
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Figure 1: Semantic reflection maps the data and object representations from various simulation applications’ layers to a unified semantic knowledge representation.
Figure 2 illustrates the interconnection of several independent modules designed to implement an intelligent agent with perception and action capabilities in a physically simulated virtual world. Both, the artificial agent as well as the user are represented by graphics module specific semantic entities which provide a general interface to the KRL (depicted for the nodes with dotted lines to the KRL). Semantic entity nodes are directly placed into the scene graph at the appropriate graphics entities.

One typical solution for the implementation of an agents visual perception is the design of a sensor which automatically tracks objects in its direction and range. Typically, such specialized sensors are often implemented as graphics nodes. This is reasonable since graphics node traversal is synchronized with the application and such sensor has automatic access to the spatial arrangement of the surrounding scene. One obvious solution to parameterize such sensors is realized by reflection, i.e., by using the runtime-type system to automatically detect compatible target nodes, i.e., nodes the agent should monitor for a given task. Using compiled programming languages like C or C++ this requires source editing and re-compilation while such runtime type systems are often limited in terms of their expressiveness, i.e., they are designed to reflect the specific language object model which is often limited to plain hierarchies and—to some degree—multiple inheritance. W.r.t. the chosen KRL base formalism, this type of information can be considered rather simple and hence can easily be made accessible during runtime. The view sensor only searches for entities which have a given semantic tag, i.e., is_agent_observable to collect all entities it should monitor since it "knows" what it has to look for. Having collected all target objects, bidirectional semantic reflection enables the sensor to easily reach through and read the position data of the entities for its local spatial ordering.

Since semantic reflection comprises all three layers from core logic, module logic, to scene entities, application design can largely be made modular and extensible. Tools can access object and entity capabilities in a uniform way. Applications range from simple data access and exchange, inter-module synchronization, to ontology based entity access required for smart graphics and IVEs.